## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: MARY E. BAK HOWSON AND HOWSON

# **PCT**

321 NORRISTOWN ROAD, SUITE 200 SPRING HOUSE CORPORATE CENTER, PO. BOX 457 SPRING HOUSE, PA 19477	THE INTERNATION OF IRANSMIT LALOF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1)	
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 23 MAR 2006	
Applicant's or agent's file reference RTS-0678WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US04/24384	International filing date (day/month/year) 18 August 2004 (18.08.2004)	
Applicant ISIS PHARMACEUTICALS INC.		
The applicant is hereby notified that the international have been established and are transmitted herewith.	search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority	
Filing of amendments and statement under Article The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the	e 19: e claims of the international application (see Rule 46):	
When? The time limit for filing such amendment search report.	its is normally two months from the date of transmitted of the international	
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of W 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile		
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	the accompanying sheet,	
	search report will be established and that the declaration under of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.	
<ol> <li>With regard to the protest against payment of (an) a</li> </ol>	additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:	
	s been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.	
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the	e applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.	
4. Reminders		
Burcau, If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone public	date, the international application will be published by the International cation, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the ed in Rules 90bis, I and 90bis, 3, respectively, before the completion of the	
International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a c	on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international hed. These comments would also be made available to the public but not	
examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone	eet of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary to the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for	
	nuths (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months. he applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide,	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US  Mail Step PCT, Atm. ISA/US  Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized office January Family Jan. J. Telephone No. 703-308-1235	
orm PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)	(See notes on accompanying sheet	
MAR 2 7	2006 Action to be OVALO (4 19 au	

PATENTS

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference RTS-0678WO		sec Form PCT/ISA/220 where applicable, item 5 below
International application No. PCT/US04/24384	International filing date (day/month/year, 18 August 2004 (18.08.2004)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 18 August 2003 (18.08.2003)
	g transmitted to the International Bureau.	Authority and is transmitted to the applicant
	d by a copy of each prior art document cit	ted in this report.
the international a translation of of a translation of of a translation of the translation of translation.  b. With regard to my nucleot Certain claims were found.  Junity of invention is lack! With regard to the title, with regard to the title, the text is approved as subrements.	d unscarchable (See Box No. II) ng (See Box No. III)	filed, which is the language
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted that text has been established		ity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
With regard to the drawings,     a. the figure of the drawings to be     as suggested by the     as selected by this	published with the abstract is Figure No applicant. Authority, because the applicant failed to su, Authority, because this figure better characte	ggest a figure.

Form PC'f/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)

International application No.

PCT/US04/24384

Box No. 1 Nucleatide and/or amino acid sequence(s) (Continuation of item 1.b of the first sheet)

ith reg	gard to any nucleotide and/or smino soid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed on, the international scarch was carried out on the basis of:
a. t	ype of material
Ī	a sequence listing
i	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. f	format of material
J	
	on paper
ļ	In electronic form
c. 1	ime of filing/furnishing
Į	contained in the international application as filed
ļ	filed together with the international application in electronic form
Į	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the applications of filed. As appropriate, were furnished.
,	Additional comments:

International application No.

PCT/US04/24384

Box No. II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)				
This internat	onal search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:				
1,	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:				
2.	Claims Nos.:  because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:				
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).				
Box No. III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)				
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: Please Sea Continuation Sheet					
ı. 🗆	As all required additional search fees were timely poid by the applicant, this international search report covers all				
·	searchable claims.				
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fees.				
3.	As only some of the required additional search floss were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which flees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1-16, 21, 40-45 and 58 (SEQ ID NOS: 21, 23 and 65)				
4.	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:				
Remark on I	*rotest				
	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.				
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.				
Form Pf"T/IS A	/210 (continuation of first sheet(2)) (April 2005)				

International application No.

DOTTE TO A 12 A 20

·	PC1/USU4/24384
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER	
IPC(8) :: C07H 2I/04 US CL : 536/24.5	
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national class:	iGention and IDC
3. FIELDS SEARCHED	inivation and IFC
Vinimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classifica U.S. : 536/24.5	ation symbols)
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that	such documents are included in the fields searched
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data bar Please See Continuation Sheet	se and, where practicable, search terms used)
A COUNTY TO COVERED TO DE DAY IT LAND	
DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category * Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate,	
X - US 6,605,451 (MARMARO ET AL.) 12 August 2003 (12.08.20	003) 1, 4-5, 8, 21 and 43
Ÿ	6-7, 9-16, 41-42, 44-
Y US 5,998,148 (BENNETT ET AL.) 7 December 1999 (07.12.19	999) 6-7, 9-16, 41-42, 44-
·	
	i
	İ
	1
•	
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.
Special categories of cited documents: "T"	later document published after the international filing date or priority
" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	date and not in conflict with the application but cited in understand a principle or theory underlying the invention
"X" carlier application or paters published on or after the international filing date	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive sto when the document is taken alone
" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to exhaligh the publication date of another oltation or other special reason (as "Y" specified)	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be
** document referring to an oral disolosure, use, exhibition or other means	considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
" document published prior to the international filing date but later then the priority date elaimed :	document member of the same patent family
ate of the actual completion of the international search Date of m	ailing of the international search report
November 2005 (04.11.2005)	23 MAR 2006,
ame and mailing address of the ISA/US Authorize	ed office
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents  Jon B. As	shen James Herry
P.O. Box 1450	"" //
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone	e No. 703- <b>368-</b> 1235
esimile No. (703) 305-3230	

International application No. PCT/US04/24384

BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Groups 1-86, claim(s) 1-16, 21, 40-45 and 58, drawn to an antisense compound 13 to 40 nucleobases in length targeted to a nucleic acid molecule encoding disciplifycerol acyltransferase 2 wherein said compound comprises a sequence selected from the group consisting of the 86 different SEO ID NOs as is listed in claims 2 and 40.

Group 87, claim(s) 17, 22-39 and 48-57, drawn to a method of inhibiting the expression of diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2 in cells or tissues or in an animal comprising contacting or administering the compound of claim 1.

Group 88, claim(s) 18 and 19, drawn to a method of screening for a modulator of diacylelycerol acyleransferase 2.

Groups 89-91, claim(s) 20, drawn to a method for identifying the presence of diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2 in a sample using SEQ ID NO: 6, SEQ ID NO: 7 or SEQ ID NO: 8.

Groups 92-97, claim(s) I and 58-65 drawn to an antisense compound that comprises a sequence selected from the group of SEQ ID NOs. 238, 241-243, 251 and 252.

Group 98, claim(s) 46, 47, and 66-70, drawn to the use of a compound according to claim 1 or claim 4 in the manufacture or preparation of a medicament.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-98 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

This international searching authority has found 98 inventions claimed in the international application covered by the claim(s) indicated below:

Claims 2, 20, 40 and 65 specifically claim SEQ ID NOs: as listed that are targeted to and modulate the expression of diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2.

This international searching authority considers that the international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention (Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3) for the reasons indicated below:

According to the guidelines in Section (f)((i)) of Annex B of the PCT Administrative Instructions, the speedal stechnical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13, 2 shall be considered to he met when all the alternatives of a Markush-group and of similar nature. For chemical alternatives, such as the claimed polynucleotide sequences, the Markush group shall be regarded as being of similar nature when:

(A) all alternatives here a common removerty or activity.

(B)(1) a common structure is present, i.e., a significant structure is shared by all of the alternatives or

(B)(2) in cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to an an recognized class of compounds in the art to which the invention pertains.

International application No. PCT/US04/24384

The instant nucleotide sequences claimed, that are antisense, probe and primer sequences, are considered to be each separate inventions for the following reasons:

The sequences do not meet the criteria of (A), common property or activity or (B)(2), art recognized class of compounds. Although the missense sequences of the instant application all largest and modulate expression of the discryling-terior acyttransferase 2 gene, each animons sequence behaves in a different way in the context of the claimed invention. Each sequence targets a clifferent and specific region of discryling-terior acyttransferase 2 and each sequence decreases the expression of the gene to varying degrees (as shown in Tables 1-23, introduction). In particular regards to the probes and primers listed in claim 70, each primer or probe also targets a different and specific region of discryling-year of acyttransferase 2. Each member of the class of antisease oligonuclotides, probes or primers cannot be substituted, one for the other, with the expectation that the same intended result would be achieved.

Further, although the instant antisense, probe and primer sequences target the same game, the sequences do not meet the critical of (8)(1) as sit by do not shaw, one with another, a common cree structure. Accordingly, unity of invention between the neulocidide sequence of the antisense, probes and primers claimed in the instant application is lacking and each nucleotide sequence claimed is considered to constitute a special technical feature.

For PCT's: If the polynucleotide sequences of the instant invention are recited in the first claimed invention, Applicants will obtain a search of the first sequence listed in the claim. For every other sequence applicants wish to have searched, applicants need to elect the sequence and pay an additional fee.

If the sequences are recited in the second or subsequent claimed invention, Applicants will need to elect the group and pay the fee to obtain a search of the first sequence listed in the claims encompassed by the second or subsequent group. For every other sequence in the second/subsequent group that applicants wish to have searched, applicants need to elect the sequence and pay as additional fice.

The special technical feature of groups 1-86 is an antisense compound that comprises a specific SEQ ID NO; said SEQ ID NO; being a nucleotide sequence selected from the group consisting of the 86 different SEQ ID NOs; as listed in claims 2 and 40.

The special technical feature of group 87 is a method of inhibiting the expression of diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2 in cells or tissues or in an animal comprising contacting or administering the compound of claim 1.

The special technical feature of group 88 is a method of screening for a modulator of diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2.

The special technical feature of groups 89-91 is a method for identifying the presence of discylglycerol acyltransferase 2 in a sample using SEQ ID NO: 6, SEQ ID NO: 7 or SEQ ID NO: 8.

The special technical feature of groups 92-97 is an antisonse compound that comprises a specific SEQ ID NO; said SEQ ID NO; being a nucleotide sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NOs: 238, 241-243, 251 and 252.

The special technical feature of group 98 is the use of a compound according to claim 1 or claim 4 in the manufacture or preparation of a medicament.

Continuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED Item 3: STIC: SEQ ID NO: 21, 35 and 65 EAST

STN (medline, biosis, embase, caplus)
Scarch Terms: discylglycerol acyltransferase 2, antisense

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (April 2005)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	From the INTERNATIONAL SEARC	HING AUTHORITY				
	To: MARY E. BAK HOWSON AND HOWSO	N			PCT	
	321 NORRISTOWN ROAD, SUITE 200 SPRING HOUSE CORPORATE CENTER, PO. BOX 457 SPRING HOUSE, PA 19477			RITTEN OPINION OF TH ONAL SEARCHING AU		
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2.3 MAR 200	6
	Applicant's or agent's file RTS-0678WO	reference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
	International application N	o. Intern	ational filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year	)
	PCT/US04/24384		gust 2004 (18.08.2		18 August 2003 (18.08.2003)	
	International Patent Classis		national classificat	ion and IPC		
	IPC(3): C07H 21/04 and U Applicant	8 Cl.: 536/24.5				
	ISIS PHARMACEUTICAL	LS INC.				
	1. This opinion contains	indications relating to	the following item	s:		
	Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion					
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					bility
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis. 1(a)(f) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				strial	
	Box No. VI Cartain documents elect					
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application					
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations	on the internation	nal application		
	2. FURTHER ACTIO	N				
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("PEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this onto be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bir(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
1	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
- [	Name and mailing address Mail Stop PCT, Attr		Date of complet	ion of this opinion	Authorized officer	M Han
	Commissioner for P P.O. Box 1450	atents	04 November 20	005 (04.11.2005)	Jon B. Ashen	~ c /m
	Alexandria, Virginia Facsimile No. (703) 305-32				Telephone No. 703-308-1235	
	Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sh		1	18	SIS DOCKETING	
				Action to be	0 10	
				Action to be	(ROSONNO)	1

International application No.
PCT/US04/24384

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion	+			
		-			
I. With:	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	1			
the international application in the language in which it was filed					
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).				
2. With a	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claims don, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	d			
n,	a. type of material				
	a sequence listing	Ī			
	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
ъ.	format of material				
	on paper	ì			
	in electronic form	-			
c.	time of filing/furnishing	1			
•	ontained in the international application as filed.	ì			
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of scarch.	-			
	Turnsited succeptainty to any Auditority for the purposes of search.	-			
3. 🔀	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing ant/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	1			
T. Madille	onal Commonits:	1			
		į			
		1			
		1			
		į			
		-			
		÷			

International application No.
PCT/US04/24384

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional form the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:     paid additional fees				
paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee				
paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid				
not paid additional fees				
<ol> <li>This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.</li> </ol>				
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is				
complied with				
not complied with for the following reasons:  See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)				
See the tack of unity section in the international Sea on Report (First Sea 22)				
i i				
:				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
*				
•				
i i				
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:				
all parts.				
the parts relating to claims Nos. 1,16,21, 40.45 and 58 (SEO ID NOS: 21, 35 and 65) *SEO ID NOS: 284, 288, 353, 323 and 410 were not sourched because these sequences do not appear in the instant claims and no search can be performed on subject matter that is not claimed.				

International application No. PCT/US04/24384

# Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 2-3, 40 and 58 Claims 1, 4-5, 8, 21, 43	YES NO
Inventive step (15)	Claims 2-3, 40 and 58 Claims 1,4-16, 21, 41-45	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-16, 21, 49-</u> 45 and 58 Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 4-5, 8, 21 and 43 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Marmaro et al. (US 6,605.451). Marmaro et al. disclose SEQ 10 DN 0: 38, an IR succeedate DN 0.10 (algonizedoids by P3% complementary to the coding region of a nucleic acid molecule encoding discylgiveral acyltransferase 2 (dgs2) (col.) 10, table 1). Absent evidence to the contrary, SEQ ID NO: 38 is an antiense to disponderelottic targeted to dgs21. SEQ ID NO: is disclosed as comprised in a kit (col. 4, lines 44-15).

Claims 6-7, 9-16, 41-42 and 44-45 lack an inventive stop under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Marmaro et al. in view of Bennett et al. The teachings of Marmaro et al. are relied upon as above. Marmaro et al. do not teach RNA or chimeric oligonucleotides (claims 6-7), 80%, 90%, 95% or 99% limitations on complementarity (claims 9-12), modified internucleoside linkages, sugars or bases (claims 15-18) or antisense targeted to the 5' UTR, the start region, the stop region or the 3' UTR of a nucleic acid molecule encoding SGLT2 (claims 41-42 and 44-45). Bennet et al. provide an extensive disclosure of antisense technology, including regions that are suitable for targeting by antisense (including the 5' UTR, start and stop regions and 3' UTR; see cols. 3-4), modifications that are desirable to make to antisense oligonucleotide to increase stability and nuclease resistance, including phosphorothicate internucleoside linkages 2-0-methyl sugar modifications and 5-methylcytosine base modifications (see cols. 6-9). Bennett et al. teach both RNA and chimeric antisense oligonucleotides (see col. 10) and that antisense oligonucleotides are able to inhibit gene expression with exquisite specificity and are often used by those of ordinary skill in the art to elucidate the function of perticular genes, to distinguish functions between different genes and are harnessed by those of skill in the art for use in therapeutic purposes (col. 5, lines 25-55). Bennett et al. also teach that an oligonucleotide need not be 100% complementary to specifically hybridize and function as antisense (col. 5, lines 4-23) Therefore, because antisense to deat2 was known in the prior art as taught by Marmaro et al. and because Bennett et al. provide ar extensive disclosure of antisense technology, including RNA and chimeric oligonucleotides, target nucleic acid regions and desired oligonuclectide modifications for increasing stability and nuclease resistance, one of one of ordinary skill in the art would not consider it inventive to combine the teachings of Marmaro et al. and Bennett et al. to formulate the instantly claimed oligomeric compound as ar antisense oligonucleotide targeted to deat2 and would have considered the determination of the sufficient degree of complementarity required for a given antisense oligonucleotide to be specifically hybridizable, to be design choice. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious and motivated by the disclosure of Bennett et al. to modify the antisense oligonucleotide of Marmaro et al. and would have expected success because the modifications disclosed by Bennett et al. were known to be successful modifications in the

Claims 2-3, 40 and 58 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest an antisense compound 13-40 nucleobases in length that comprises SEO ID NO: 21, 35 or 65.

Claims 1-16, 21, 40-45 and 58 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

International application No.

PCT/US04/24384

## Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1-16, 21, 40-45 and 58 are unclear under PCT Rule 6 (n. . . 112 2nd pragraph indefiniteness). All claims depend from claim 1 which recisies, "whicher saids compound inhibits everyssion of disoplygrood say/transferse 2 mRNA by a least 106% which is relative terminology, the meter and bounds of which cannot be determined without assumption. There is no context in the claim for determining what inhibition of the expression of disoplyglycards applications from 2 mRNA would constitute an inhibition of "at least 106" which is relative.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (April 2005)

## NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged:
- (ii) the claim is cancelled:
- (ii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amondment of some claims there are 51]:
   "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 30 unchanged, now claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11].
- "Claims I to 15 replaced by amended claims I to 11."
- [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims!
   "Claims 16 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added," or
- "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- [Where various kinds of amondments are made]: (Islams 1: 0 unchanged, claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amonded claim 17 subdivided into amonded claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

### "Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

## Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accommanying statement, under Article 19, a demand international preliminary examination has already to the commission of the applicant must perfect able to the international filing the amendments (and then the activate the International Bareau, also file with the International Bareau, also file with the International Bareau, also file with the International Commission of Such another than the Commission of Such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments from the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the damend form (ECT/TPE/AVOI).

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, the written opinion of the International Searching Authority will, except in cortain cases where the International Preliminary Examining Authority did not not at a suffernational Benching Authority and where it has notified the International Benching Authority. If an advantage of the Contractional Preliminary Examining Authority. If a domand is made, the outsidered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a domand is made, the opinional transplant may submit to the International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a domand is made, the opinion International Preliminary Examining Authority. If a domand is made, the written opinion together, where appropriate, with amendments before the exprincion of 22 months from the date of mailing of Form [PCTIRSA2200 or object the exprincion of 22 months from the principle date, whichever express later (Rule 436st. (c)).

## Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the cleins as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.

### NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

Then Notes are incided to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under Article 19. The Notes are based on the experiments of the Peter Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of disorpancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter ere applicable. For more devised information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPCO.

In these Notes, "Article." "Rule" and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

## INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasive that, since all parts of the international application claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, or, it is applicant wants the laters to be published for the purposes of protitional protection or has enother reason for amending the ultimate before international publications. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection as a variable in some States only (see PCT Applicant's Claims(A volume 1/A, Annovers 81 and 82).

The strention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that amendments to the claims under Article 19 are not allowed where the laternational Searching Authority has declared, under Article 17(2), that no international search report would be exhibited (see Terr. Applicant's Grider, Volume (A.P., paragraph 296).

### What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable. Article 41.

When ? Within 2 months from the date of transmitted of the international search report or 16 months from the priority dote, whichever time limit regions after. The thought of the noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expinition of the applicable time limit by the brief with the empletion of the unknietal preparations for international Bureau publication (Rule 46(1)).

#### Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement shoot must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered enseattlest (Section, 2005b).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

#### What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

#### Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.